arXiv:1302.1238v1 [math.AT] 6 Feb 2013

ON TOPOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY OF EILENBERG-MACLANE SPACES

YULI RUDYAK

Abstract. We note that, for any natural k and every natural l between k and $2k$, there exists a group π with $\operatorname{cat} K(\pi,1)=k$ and $\mathrm{TC}(K(\pi,1))=l$. Because of this, we can set up a problem of searching of purely group-theoretical description of $\mathrm{TC}(K(\pi,1))$ as an invariant of π.

Below $\operatorname{cat} X$ denotes the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category (normalized, i.e $\operatorname{cat} S^n=1$, see [1]. Furthermore, we denote by $\mathrm{TC}(X)$ the topological complexity of X defined by Farber [4], but we use the normalized version as [7]. So, $\mathrm{TC}(X)$ is the Schwarz genus of the map $p: PX \to X\times X$, where PX is the spaces of all paths on X and $p:\gamma)=(\gamma(0),\gamma(1))$ and $\gamma:[0,1]\to X$ is the paths on X. In other words, $\mathrm{TC}(X)$ is the minimal number k such $X=B_0\cup B_1\cup\cdots B_k$ with open B_i's and such that there exist continuous sections $s_i: B_i\to PX$ of p. We also need the monoidal topological complexity $\mathrm{TC}^M(X)$, [6], where the sections $s_i: B_i\to PX$ are such that the paths $s_i(x,x)$ are the constant paths.

1. **Proposition.** *For a path connected topological group G we have $\mathrm{TC}^M(G)=\operatorname{cat} G$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the known equality $\mathrm{TC}(G)=\operatorname{cat} G$, [5, Lemma 8.2], but we should be careful with pointed homotopy. Put $\operatorname{cat} G=k$ and let $G=\{A_0\cup\cdots\cup A_k\}$ where each A_i is open and contractible in G. Without loss of generality, we assume that $e\in A_i$ for all $i=0,\dots,k$ where e is the neutral element of G. Given a contraction $H_i: A_i\times I\to G, H(a,0)=a, H(a,1)=e$ for all $a\in A_i$, define $F_i(a,t):=H_i(a,t)H_i(e,t)^{-1}$. Then $F_i(e,t)=e$ for all t.

Now, put $B_i=\{(a,x)\bigm| a\in A_i, x\in G\}$. Clearly, $\cup_{i=0}^k B_i=G\times G$. Now, given $(a,ax)\in B_i$, define the path joining $a\to ax$ by setting $\gamma(t)=F_i(a,t)$. In this way we get a section $s=s_i: B_i\to PG$ of $p: PG\to G$, and $s(x,x)$ is the constant path at x. □

Now we use the following result of Dranishnikov [2, Theorm 3.6] (note that in [2] the author uses normalized category while non-normalized topological complexity, but we reformulate his result for normalized invariants):

$$\max\{\mathrm{TC}(X),\mathrm{TC}(Y),\operatorname{cat}(X\times Y)\}\le \mathrm{TC}(X\vee Y)\le \mathrm{TC}^M(X\vee Y)\le \mathrm{TC}^M(X)+\mathrm{TC}^M(Y).$$

Now, in view of Proposition 1, for a path connected groups G,H we get the following:

$$\operatorname{cat}(G\times H)\le \mathrm{TC}(G\vee H)\le \operatorname{cat} G+\operatorname{cat} H \tag{1}$$

Farber asked about calculation of $\mathrm{TC}(K(\pi,1)$'s. It is known that $\operatorname{cat} X\le \mathrm{TC}(X)\le \operatorname{cat}(X\times X)$ for all X, [4]. The following observation tells us that, in the class of $(K(\pi,1)$-spaces, the above mentioned inequality gets no new bounds.

2. **Theorem.** *For every natural k and every natural l with $l\le k\le 2k$ there exists a discrete group π such that π with $\operatorname{cat} K(\pi,1)=k$ and $\mathrm{TC}(K(\pi,1))=l$. In fact, we can put $\pi=\mathbb{Z}^k*\mathbb{Z}^{l-k}$.*

Proof. Let T^m be the m-torus. Then $\operatorname{cat} T^m = m$. Put $r = l - k$ and consider the free product $\pi := \mathbb{Z}^k * \mathbb{Z}^r$. Then $K(\pi, 1) = T^k \vee T^r$, because $\operatorname{cat}(X \vee Y) = \max(\operatorname{cat} X, \operatorname{cat} Y)$ (for good enough spaces X,Y, like CW spaces) . So, $\operatorname{cat}(K(\pi, 1)) = k$. On the other hand, because of (1) we have

$$l = \operatorname{cat}(T^l) = \operatorname{cat}(T^k \times T^r) \le \mathrm{TC}(T^l \vee T^r) = \mathrm{TC}(K(\pi, 1)) \le \operatorname{cat} T^k + \operatorname{cat} T^r = k + r = l.$$

Thus, $\mathrm{TC}(K(\pi, 1) = l$. □

Note that the invariant $\operatorname{cat}(K(\pi, 1))$ has a known purely group-theoretical description. In fact, $\operatorname{cat} K(\pi, 1)$ is equal to the cohomological dimension of π ,[3]. Now, in view of Theorem 2, we have the following problem: to describe $\mathrm{TC}(K(\pi, 1))$ in purely group-theoretical terms.

Acknowledgments: This work was partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#209424 to Yuli Rudyak).

REFERENCES

[1] O. Cornea, G. Lupton, J. Oprea and D Tanré. Lusternik–Schnirelmann category. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, **103**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

[2] A. Dranishnikov. On Topological Complexity and LS Category. arXiv:1207.7309v2 [math.GT] 12 Aug 2012.

[3] S. Eilenberg and T. Ganea. On the Lusternik–Schnirelmann category of abstract groups. *Ann. of Math.* (2) **65** (1957), 517–518.

[4] M. Farber. Topological complexity of motion planning. *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **29** (2003) 211–221

[5] M. Farber. Instabilities of robot motion. *Topology Appl.* **140** (2004) 245–266.

[6] N. Iwase and M. Sakai. Topological complexity is a fibrewise L-S category. *Topology Appl.* **157** (2010), no. 1, 10 - 21. Erratum: *Topology Appl.* **159** (2012), no. 10-11, 2810-2813.

[7] Yu. Rudyak. On higher analogs of topological complexity. *Topology Appl.* **157** (2010) 916–920 . Erratum: *Topology Appl* **157** (2010) 1118).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA 32608